

REMARKS

Applicant is filing this Supplemental Amendment F as a supplement to original Amendment F, filed on July 31, 2007. Applicant respectfully traverses the rejections of the May 1, 2007 Office Action for the reasons set forth in original Amendment F.

With Supplemental Amendment F, Applicant is adding new Claims 30-39. New independent Claim 30 is similar to independent Claim 1, except in Claim 30, first and second mounting arrangement portions have been substituted for the first and second flanges of Claim 1. New independent Claim 35 is similar to independent Claim 6, except in Claim 35, first and second mounting arrangement portions have been substituted for the first and second flanges of Claim 6. Applicant respectfully submits that new independent Claims 30 and 35, and associated dependent Claims 31-34 and 36-39, are allowable over the cited references for reasons similar to those advanced in original Amendment F with regard to Claims 1 and 6. Thus, an indication of the allowance of new Claims 30-39 is respectfully requested.

With regard to new drawing Figure 2A, Applicant respectfully requests approval of this new drawing figure. The various different types of mounting arrangements represented by the component referred to by reference number 31A shown in new Figure 2A are supported in the Specification, as originally filed, on page 5, lines 12-15. Accordingly, no new matter is being added with new drawing Figure 2A.

Finally, with regard to the drawings, the Examiner indicated that the drawings filed on July 21, 2003 and May 18, 2006 were objected to by the Examiner. However, the Examiner is reminded that the drawings filed on October 6, 2006 (received October 16, 2006) have been accepted by the Examiner. Accordingly, Applicant does not believe that there are any outstanding drawing objections from the Examiner. With regard to the draftsman's objections, dated April 30, 2007, those objections related to the May 18, 2006 drawings, which were not entered. Accordingly, Applicant does not believe that there are any outstanding drawing objections from the draftsman either.

For all of the above reasons, and for the reasons advanced in original Amendment F, filed on July 31, 2007, Applicant requests reconsideration and allowance of the claimed invention. Should the Examiner be of the opinion that a telephone conference would aid in the prosecution of the application, or that outstanding issues exist, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.

August 13, 2007
Suite 2500
300 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 360-0080
Customer No. 24978
P:\DOCS\4438\75802\BU6555.DOC

By /James K. Folker/

James K. Folker
Registration No. 37,538